arXiv:1410.4126v3 [math.MG] 16 Jun 2016

The intersection graph of the disks with diameters the sides of a convex n-gon*

Clemens Huemer† Pablo Pérez-Lantero‡

June 17, 2016

Abstract

Given a convex polygon of n sides, one can draw n disks (called side disks) where each disk has a different side of the polygon as diameter and the midpoint of the side as its center. The intersection graph of such disks is the undirected graph with vertices the n disks and two disks are adjacent if and only if they have a point in common. We prove that for every convex polygon this graph is planar. Particularly, for $n = 5$, this shows that for any convex pentagon there are two disks among the five side disks that do not intersect, which means that K_5 is never the intersection graph of such five disks. For $n = 6$, we then have that for any convex hexagon the intersection graph of the side disks does not contain $K_{3,3}$ as subgraph.

1 Introduction

Let P_n be a convex polygon of n sides denoted $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ counter-clockwise. For each side s_i, let D_i denote the disk with diameter the length of s_i and center the midpoint of s_i. Since D_i is constructed on the side s_i of P_n, we say that D_i is a *side disk* of P_n. The *intersection graph* of the side disks $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{n-1}$ is the undirected graph $G = \langle V, E\rangle$, where $V = \{D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{n-1}\}$ and $\{D_i, D_j\} \in E$ if and only if the intersection of D_i and D_j is not empty. We prove in this paper that for any convex polygon the intersection graph of the side disks is planar.

Results on disjoint and intersecting disks in the plane are among the most classical ones in convex geometry. Helly's theorem, see e.g. [12], when stated for disks in the plane, tells us that if any three of a given family of n disks intersect, then all n disks intersect. A theorem of Danzer [2] says that if any two of a given family of n disks intersect, then there exists a set of four points which intersects each disk. We refer to the survey of Wenger for related results [12]. Intersections of disks have also been considered in the context of intersection graphs: each disk represents a vertex of the graph and two vertices are adjacent if and only if the corresponding disks intersect. By the Koebe-Andreev-Thurston theorem [7], every planar graph is an intersection graph of disks, where every pair of intersecting disks have only one point in common, that is, they are tangent. In our problem, the disks are in special position and we prove that their intersection graph is planar.

*The partial solution for the convex pentagon appeared at the XVI Spanish Meeting on Computational Geometry, Barcelona, Spain, 2015.

†Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada IV, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain. clemens.huemer@upc.edu.

‡Departamento de Matemática y Ciencia de la Computación, Universidad de Santiago, Santiago, Chile. pablo.perez.l@usach.cl.

Results relating convex polygons and disks (or circles) can also be found in the literature. Given a polygon with n vertices $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$, a sequence $D_1, D_2, D_3, \ldots$ of disks can be built as follows: D_1 is inscribed in the angle A_1, D_2 is the smallest one inscribed in the angle A_2 and tangent to D_1, D_3 is the smallest one inscribed in the angle A_3 and tangent to D_2, etc. The Money-Coutts theorem [3], also known as the *six circles theorem*, states that for every triangle A_1, A_2, A_3 there exists such a sequence of disks that is 6-periodic. In general, if at least one of the tangency points of the initial disk D_1 lies on a side of the triangle, then the sequence of disks is eventually 6-periodic [6]. A similar 6-periodic sequence of disks can be built with respect to three given circles in general position instead of a triangle [11]. In this scenario, Tabachnikov [9] described a class of convex n-gons for which such a sequence is $2n$-periodic. Troubetzkoy [10] showed that for parallelograms such sequences are preperiodic, with eventual period 4, and that they are chaotic for some class of quadrilaterals. Also well known are Malfatti's problem which given a triangle asks for three non-overlapping disks of maximum total area contained in the triangle [13], and the *seven circles theorem* [3] that given a closed chain of six circles all tangent to, and enclosed by, a seventh circle and each tangent to its two adjacent ones, states that the three lines drawn between the opposite pairs of tangency points on the seventh circle are concurrent.

The problem studied here, posed in [1] for the case of a pentagon, turned out to be non-trivial to solve. To give some insight for this particular case of a pentagon, let us consider the example in Figure 1a. The two disks corresponding to sides AB and CD do not intersect. This is equivalent to saying that the distance between the midpoints M_{AB} and M_{CD} of the segments AB and CD, respectively, is larger than the sum of the radii of the two disks, equal to half of the sum of the lengths of AB and CD. Thus, a first natural approach is trying to prove that the sum of the five distances between the midpoints (that is, the dotted edges in Figure 1a) is bigger than the perimeter of the pentagon. But this is not always the case: for example, consider the convex pentagon with vertices at coordinates $(1, 9)$, $(0, 3)$, $(0, -3)$, $(1, -9)$, and $(60, 0)$. Another quite natural approach is to connect an interior point P of the pentagon with the five vertices and consider the angles at P. It follows from Thales' Theorem that P lies in the disk with, say, diameter AE if and only if the angle $\angle APE$ is at least $\pi/2$. In Figure 1b, P lies outside the disks with diameters AB, BC, and DE. Clearly, no point P lies in more than three of the five disks, since otherwise the five angles around P would sum more than 2π. One could then use a fractional version of Helly's theorem (Theorem 12 in [12]), which states that if among all the 10 triples of the five disks, more than 6 triples have a point in common, then there exists a point contained in 4 disks. We conclude that there are at least 4 triples of disks without a common intersection. However, it remained elusive to us to solve this particualar case with a Helly-type approach.

Notation: Given three different points p, q, and r in the plane, let $\ell(p, q)$ denote the straight line containing both p and q, $pq \subset \ell(p, q)$ the segment with endpoints p and q, $h(p, q)$ the halfline emanating from p and containing q, Δpqr the triangle with vertex set $\{p, q, r\}$, and $\angle pqr$ the angle not bigger than π with vertex q and sides $h(q, p)$ and $h(q, r)$. For a line ℓ, let $dist(p, \ell)$ denote the distance from p to ℓ. Given a segment s, let $|s|$ denote the length of s, $\ell(s)$ the line that contains s, and D_s the disk that has diameter $|s|$ and center the midpoint of s. We say that a (convex) quadrilateral is *tangential* if each of its sides is tangent to the same given disk contained in the quadrilateral. Every time we define a polygon by enumerating its vertices, the vertices are given in counter-clockwise order. We will also refer to a polygon by giving a sequence of its vertices in counter-clockwise order.



Figure 1: (a) Two disjoint disks with diameters AB and CD. (b) P lies outside the disks with diameters AB, BC, and DE.

2 Preliminaries

Let $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ denote in counter-clockwise order the sides of a convex polygon P_n. Let $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{n-1}$ be the side disks of P_n at $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$, respectively, and $G = \langle V, E\rangle$ the intersection graph of $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{n-1}$. Note that $\{D_i, D_{i+1}\} \in E$ for every $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, where subindices are taken modulo n. Then, G is Hamiltonian, with the cycle $c = \langle D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{n-1}, D_0\rangle$.

Any Hamiltonian graph $G = \langle V, E\rangle$ with a Hamiltonian cycle $\langle v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}, v_0\rangle$ can be embedded in the plane as follows: the vertices $V = \{v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}\}$ are different points of the unit circle so that the edges of the cycle are the circular arcs between consecutive points, and any other edge $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E$ is the straight chord of the circle, denoted $\mathsf{c}_{i,j}$, that connects the points representing v_i and v_j, respectively. We call such an embedding as the *circular embedding* of G. The chords induce the intersection graph $G_{\mathsf{c}} = \langle V_{\mathsf{c}}, E_{\mathsf{c}}\rangle$, where V_{c} is the set of chords, and $\{\mathsf{c}_{i,j}, \mathsf{c}_{k,\ell}\} \in E_{\mathsf{c}}$ if and only if the chords $\mathsf{c}_{i,j}$ and $\mathsf{c}_{k,\ell}$ have an interior point in common. Observe that subindices i, j, k, and ℓ must be different. See Figure 2 for examples.

Kuratowski and Wagner theorems are well known characterizations of planar graphs, but they are oriented to general graphs. In our particular case, we consider the condition that the intersection graph of the side disks of a convex polyogn has a Hamiltonian cycle, and use the following characterization instead, which is due to Hundack and Stamm-Wilbrandt [5].

Theorem 1 ([5])**.** *Let $G = \langle V, E\rangle$ be a Hamiltonian graph, and $G_{\mathsf{c}} = \langle V_{\mathsf{c}}, E_{\mathsf{c}}\rangle$ the intersection graph of the chords in the circular embedding of G. Then, G is planar if and only if G_{c} is bipartite.*

Any convex n-gon is the intersection of n halfplanes, where the boundary of each halfplane contains a side of the n-gon. In general, the intersection of n halfplanes is not always a convex polygon: it can be a convex unbounded set whose boundary is a connected polyline with the first and last sides being halflines instead of segments. We say that such a convex set is an *unbounded* convex n-gon, and if $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ denote the sides in counter-clockwise order, then s_0 and s_{n-1} are the first and last sides, that is, s_0 and s_{n-1} are halflines and $s_1, \ldots, s_{n-2}$ are segments. We



(a)

(b)

Figure 2: Two examples of an hexagon withs sides $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_5$, together with the circular embedding of the intersection graph of the side disks.

consider in the case of an unbouded convex n-gon that s_0 and s_{n-1} *are not* consecutive sides, and the side disks at them are halfplanes as degenerated disks. In our proof we use both convex n-gons and unbounded convex n-gons. Given two sides of an (unbounded) convex polygon, the *bisector* is the line that contains the points of the polygon that are equidistant from the two sides.

To prove that $G_{\mathtt{c}}$ is bipartite, we will show that it does not have cycles of odd length, and the main results that we obtain in this direction are the following ones:

Lemma 2 (1-Chord). *Let P_n be an (unbounded) convex n-gon, $n \geq 5$, with sides denoted $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ in counter-clockwise order. Let $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{n-1}$ be the side disks of P_n at $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$, respectively. Then, there exists a side s_i such that the disk D_i intersects at most one disk among the disks $D_{i+2}, D_{i+3}, \ldots, D_{i-3}, D_{i-2}$ not neighbouring D_i, where subindices are taken modulo n. That is, there is at most one chord with endpoint the point representing D_i in the circular embedding of the intersection graph of $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{n-1}$.*



Figure 3: (a) Illustration of Theorem 5. (b) Illustration of Lemma 6.

Lemma 3 (**No-3-Cycles**). *Let P_n be an (unbounded) convex n-gon, $n \geq 6$, and* a, b, c, d, e, f *six sides appearing in this order counter-clockwise. At least one of the following statements is satisfied:*

(a) D_{a} *and* D_{d} *do not intersect.*

(b) D_{b} *and* D_{e} *do not intersect.*

(c) D_{c} *and* D_{f} *do not intersect.*

That is, the intersection graph of the chords in the circular embedding of the side disks of P_n does not have 3-length cycles.

Theorem 4 (**Main**). *In any convex polygon the intersection graph of the side disks is planar.*

We prove the above three results in sections 3, 4, and 5, respectively. In each section, before proving the corresponding main result, we also prove several technical lemmas, with arguments mostly from Euclidean geometry. The next basic results will be used:

Theorem 5 (Apolonio's Theorem). *Let P, Q, and R be three different points of the plane, and let M denote the midpoint of the segment QR (see Figure 3a). Then, the length $|PM|$ satisfies:*

$$|PM| \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\left(|PQ|^2+|PR|^2\right)-|QR|^2}.$$

A known fact that we will also use is the following one: Given a disk and a point outside it, the two lines passing through the point and tangent to the disk define two segments of equal lengths. Each segment connects the point with a point of tangency between one of the lines and the disk.

Lemma 6 (Diagonal of a tangential quadrilateral [4]). *Let P, Q, R, and S be the vertices of a tangential quadrilateral, tangent to the disk $\mathcal{C}$. Let T_1, T_2, T_3, and T_4 denote the tangent points between the sides PQ, QR, RS, and SP and $\mathcal{C}$, respectively. Let $a = |PT_1| = |PT_4|$, $b = |ST_4| = |ST_3|$, $c = |RT_3| = |RT_2|$, and $d = |QT_2| = |QT_1|$ (see Figure 3b). Then, the length $|PR|$ satisfies:*

$$|PR| \;=\; \sqrt{\frac{a+c}{b+d}\cdot\big((a+c)(b+d)+4bd\big)}.$$



Figure 4: Illustration of Lemma 7.

3 Part I: Proof of 1-Chord lemma (Lemma 2)

Lemma 7. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a disk and let P be a point not contained in $\mathcal{C}$. Let T_1 and T_2 be the points of the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$ such that the lines $\ell(P, T_1)$ and $\ell(P, T_2)$ are tangents to $\mathcal{C}$. Let A be a point in the segment PT_1 and B a point in the segment PT_2 such that the segment AB is tangent to $\mathcal{C}$ (see Figure 4). Then, the disk D_{AB} is contained in the disk with center P and radius $|PT_1| = |PT_2|$.*

Proof. Let $a = |PT_1| = |PT_2|$, $b = |AT_1|$, and $c = |BT_2|$. Let M denote the midpoint of the segment AB, and note that $|PA| = a - b$, $|PB| = a - c$, and $|AB| = b + c$. To prove the result, it suffices to prove that

$$|PM| + |MA| \;\leq\; a.$$

Note that $|PM| = (1/2)\sqrt{2((a-b)^2 + (a-c)^2) - (b+c)^2}$ by Theorem 5, and that $|MA| = (b + c)/2$. Since $b \leq a$ and $c \leq a$, which implies $(b+c)/2 \leq a$, verifying the above inequation is equivalent to proving that

$$4 \cdot |PM|^2 \;=\; 2((a-b)^2 + (a-c)^2) - (b+c)^2 \;\leq\; (2a - (b+c))^2 .$$

This last equation holds since the following inequalities are equivalent

$$\begin{aligned} 2((a-b)^2 + (a-c)^2) - (b+c)^2 &\leq (2a - (b+c))^2 \\ 4a^2 - 4ab - 4ac - 2bc + b^2 + c^2 &\leq 4a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - 4ab - 4ac + 2bc \\ 0 &\leq 4bc. \end{aligned}$$

The result thus follows. □

Lemma 8. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a disk centered at the point O, and let P be a point not contained in $\mathcal{C}$. Let T_1 and T_2 be the points of the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$ such that the lines $\ell(P, T_1)$ and $\ell(P, T_2)$ are tangents to $\mathcal{C}$. Let E be a point in the halfline $h(P, T_1) \setminus PT_1$ and D a point in the halfline $h(P, O)$ such that: $\ell(E, D)$ does not intersect the interior of $\mathcal{C}$, and $\angle EDP \leq \pi/2$ (see Figure 5). Then, the disk D_{DE} does not intersect the disk with center P and radius $|PT_1| = |PT_2|$.*



Figure 5: Illustration of Lemma 8.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_P$ be the disk with center P and radius $|PT_1| = |PT_2|$. Let $S \in h(P, T_1) \setminus PT_1$ and $R \in h(P, O) \setminus PO$ be the points such that the line $\ell(S, R)$ is parallel to $\ell(E, D)$ and tangent to $\mathcal{C}$ at the point T_4. Let Q denote the reflection point of S about the line $\ell(P, O)$, and note that the quadrilateral with vertices P, Q, R, and S is a tangential quadrilateral, tangent to $\mathcal{C}$. Let T_3 be the point of tangency between the segment QR and $\mathcal{C}$, and $a = |PT_1| = |PT_2|$, $b = |ST_1| = |ST_4| = |QT_2| = |QT_3|$, and $c = |RT_3| = |RT_4|$. Then, by Lemma 6 used with $d = b$, we have that

$$|PR| \;=\; \sqrt{(a+c)(a+c+2b)}.$$

Let M denote the midpoint of the segment SR, which satisfies that $|MS| = (b+c)/2$. We claim that

$$|PT_1| + |MS| \;=\; a + (b+c)/2 \;<\; |PM|.$$

Indeed, by Theorem 5, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |PM| &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\left(|PS|^2 + |PR|^2\right) - |SR|^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2\left((a+b)^2 + (a+c)(a+c+2b)\right) - (b+c)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} 2a+b+c &< 2\cdot|PM| \\ (2a+b+c)^2 &< 2\left((a+b)^2 + (a+c)(a+c+2b)\right) - (b+c)^2 \\ 4a^2+b^2+c^2+4ab+4ac+2bc &< 2(2a^2+b^2+c^2+4ab+2ac+2bc) - (b^2+c^2+2bc) \\ 4a^2+b^2+c^2+4ab+4ac+2bc &< 4a^2+b^2+c^2+8ab+4ac+2bc \\ 0 &< 4ab, \end{aligned}$$



Figure 6: Illustration of Lemma 9.

are all equivalent and hold given that $a, b > 0$, which imply the claim. Let M' denote the midpoint of the segment ED. Since triangles ΔPRS and ΔPDE are similar, we have that $|PM'| = \lambda \cdot |PM|$ and $|M'E| = \lambda \cdot |MS|$, where $\lambda = |PE|/|PS| = |PD|/|PR| = |ED|/|SR| \geq 1$ is the similarity ratio between these triangles. Then, since $|PM| - |MS| > |PT_1| > 0$, we have that

$$|PT_1| \ < \ |PM| - |MS| \ \leq \ \lambda(|PM| - |MS|) \ = \ |PM'| - |M'E|.$$

This immediately implies that the disk D_{DE} does not intersect the disk $\mathcal{C}_P$. □

Lemma 9. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a disk centered at the point O, and let P be a point not contained in $\mathcal{C}$. Let T_1 and T_2 be the points of the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$ such that the lines $\ell(P, T_1)$ and $\ell(P, T_2)$ are tangents to $\mathcal{C}$. Let E be a point in the halfline $h(P, T_1) \setminus PT_1$ and D a point in halfline $h(P, O)$ such that: $\ell(E, D)$ does not intersect the interior of $\mathcal{C}$, and $\angle EDP > \pi/2$ (see Figure 7). Then, the disk D_{DE} does not intersect the disk $\mathcal{C}_P$ with center P and radius $|PT_1| = |PT_2|$.*

Proof. Let $S \in h(P, T_1) \setminus PT_1$ and $R \in h(P, O) \setminus PO$ be the points such that the line $\ell(S, R)$ is parallel to $\ell(E, D)$ and tangent to the disk $\mathcal{C}$ at the point T_3. Note that T_3 belongs to the wedge bounded by $h(P, O)$ and $h(P, T_2)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_S$ be the disk with center S and radius $|PT_1| = |PT_3|$ (see Figure 6). Since $\mathcal{C}_P$ and $\mathcal{C}_S$ have disjoint interiors and $D_{SR} \subset \mathcal{C}_S$, then $\mathcal{C}_P$ and D_{SR} are disjoint. Similar as in the last arguments of the proof of Lemma 8, D_{DE} does not intersect $\mathcal{C}_P$. □

Lemma 10. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a disk centered at the point O, and let P be a point not contained in $\mathcal{C}$. Let T_1 and T_2 be the points of the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$ such that the lines $\ell(P, T_1)$ and $\ell(P, T_2)$ are tangents to $\mathcal{C}$. Let E be a point in the halfline $h(P, T_1) \setminus PT_1$ and $D \neq E$ a point in the interior of the convex wedge bounded by $h(P, T_1)$ and $h(P, O)$ such that: $h(E, D)$ does not intersect with $h(P, O)$, and $\ell(E, D)$ does not intersect the interior of $\mathcal{C}$ (see Figure 7). Then, the disk D_{DE} does not intersect the disk with center P and radius $|PT_1| = |PT_2|$.*



Figure 7: Illustration of Lemma 10.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in (0, \pi/2)$ denote the angle formed by $h(P, E)$ and $h(P, O)$, and $\beta \in (0, \alpha]$ the angle formed by $h(P, E)$ and $\ell(E, D)$ (see Figure 7). Let E' be the point in $h(P, T_1) \setminus PT_1$ such that the line different from $\ell(P, E')$ containing E' and tangent to $\mathcal{C}$, denoted γ, is parallel to the line $\ell(E, D)$. Let τ be the line perpendicular to γ that contains E', and T_3 denote the point of tangency between γ and $\mathcal{C}$. Let $a = |PT_1| = |PT_2|$, $b = |E'T_1| = |E'T_3|$, and r denote the radius of $\mathcal{C}$. Since the lines γ and τ are perpendicular, the angle formed by the lines $\ell(P, E')$ and τ is equal to $\pi/2 - \beta$. Then, the distance $dist(P, \tau)$ from the point P to the line τ satisfies

$$dist(P, \tau) \;=\; |PE'| \cdot \sin(\pi/2 - \beta) \;=\; (a + b) \cdot \cos\beta.$$

Note that $\angle T_1E'T_3 = \pi - \beta$. Then, since the line $\ell(O, E')$ bisects the angle $\angle T_1E'T_3$, we have that $\angle T_1E'O = \pi/2 - \beta/2$, which implies that

$$b = r \cdot \cot(\angle T_1E'O) = r \cdot \tan(\beta/2)$$

because the segment OT_1 satisfying $|OT_1| = r$ is perpendicular to the line $\ell(P, E')$. On the other hand, note that $a = r \cdot \cot\alpha$. Putting the above observations together, the next inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
|PT_1| &\leq dist(P, \tau) \\
a &\leq (a + b) \cdot \cos\beta \\
r \cdot \cot\alpha &\leq (r \cdot \cot\alpha + r \cdot \tan(\beta/2)) \cdot \cos\beta \\
\cot\alpha &\leq \frac{\cos\beta \cdot \tan(\beta/2)}{1 - \cos\beta} \;=\; \frac{\cos\beta \cdot \frac{\sin(\beta/2)}{\cos(\beta/2)}}{2\sin^2(\beta/2)} \;=\; \frac{\cos\beta}{2\sin(\beta/2)\cos(\beta/2)} \\
\frac{\cos\alpha}{\sin\alpha} &\leq \frac{\cos\beta}{\sin\beta} \\
0 &\leq \sin(\alpha - \beta)
\end{aligned}$$



Figure 8: Illustration of Lemma 11.

are all equivalent and hold given that $\beta > 0$ and $0 \leq \alpha - \beta < \alpha < \pi/2$. Since by construction the line τ either does not intersect the disk D_{DE} or is tangent to D_{DE} at the point $E' \neq T_1$, we can guarantee that the disk D_{DE} does not intersect the disk with center P and radius $|PT_1| = |PT_2|$. The lemma thus follows. □

Lemma 11. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a disk centered at the point O, and let P be a point not contained in $\mathcal{C}$. Let T_1 and T_2 be the points of the boundary of $\mathcal{C}$ such that the lines $\ell(P, T_1)$ and $\ell(P, T_2)$ are tangents to $\mathcal{C}$. Let D be a point in the halfline $h(P, O) \setminus PO$ and E a point in the interior of the convex wedge bounded by $h(P, T_1)$ and $h(P, O)$ such that $h(D, E)$ does not intersect with $h(P, T_1)$ (see Figure 8). Then, the disk D_{DE} does not intersect the disk $\mathcal{C}_P$ with center P and radius $|PT_1| = |PT_2|$.*

Proof. Let τ be the line through D that is perpendicular to DE. Let D' be the orthogonal projection of D into $h(P, T_1)$, that is, lines $\ell(D, D')$ and $\ell(P, T_1)$ are perpendicular at D'. By the definition of E, the distante from P to τ is at least $|PD'|$ and at most $|PD|$. Since $|PT_1| < |PD|$, then the disks D_{DE} and $\mathcal{C}_P$ do not intersect. □

Lemma 12. *Any (unbounded) convex n-gon, $n \geq 5$, contains a disk $\mathcal{C}$ tangent to three consecutive sides, such that: the lines containing the first and third sides, respectively, are not parallel and further their intersection point and the interior of $\mathcal{C}$ belong to different halfplanes bounded by the line containing the second side.*

Proof. Let P_n be a convex n-gon with sides denoted $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ counter-clockwise. In the following, every disk will be considered to be contained in P_n, and for every side s, let $\ell(s)$ denote the line containing s. There exist disks tangent to three consecutive sides and centered at a vertex of the medial axis of P_n [8]. The medial axis of a simple polygon is the locus of the points of the polygon that have more than one closest point in the boundary. If the polygon is convex, the medial axis is a tree made of line segments, each contained in the bisector of two sides (see Figure 9a). Then, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a disk of minimum radius among those disks, tangent to the sides s_{n-1}, s_0, and s_1 w.l.o.g. If $\ell(s_{n-1})$ and $\ell(s_1)$ are not parallel and their intersection point and the interior of $\mathcal{C}$ are at different halfplanes bounded by $\ell(s_0)$ (see Figure 9a), then the lemma is proved. Otherwise,



Figure 9: Proof of Lemma 12. (a) The medial axis of a convex pentagon with sides s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, and a disk $\mathcal{C}$ tangent to the sides s_4, s_0, and s_1 and centered at a vertex of the medial axis. (b) If $\ell(s_4)$ and $\ell(s_1)$ are not parallel and their intersection point and the interior of $\mathcal{C}$ are at the same halfplane bounded by $\ell(s_0)$, and $\mathcal{C}$ is not tangent to both s_2 and s_3, then there exists a disk $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with smaller radius tangent to three consecutive sides. (c) If $\ell(s_4)$ and $\ell(s_1)$ are parallel and $\mathcal{C}'$ is not tangent to s_3, then there exists a disk $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with smaller radius tangent to three consecutive sides.

if $\ell(s_{n-1})$ and $\ell(s_1)$ are not parallel and their intersection point and the interior of $\mathcal{C}$ are at the same halfplane bounded by $\ell(s_0)$ (see Figure 9b), then by the minimality of $\mathcal{C}$ every side among $s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_{n-2}$ must be tangent to $\mathcal{C}$, which implies that every triple of consecutive sides among $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ together with $\mathcal{C}$ satisfy the conditions of the lemma. Finally, if $\ell(s_{n-1})$ and $\ell(s_1)$ are parallel (see Figure 9c), then by the minimality of $\mathcal{C}$ the disk $\mathcal{C}'$ with radius equal to that of $\mathcal{C}$ and tangent to the sides s_1 and s_{n-1}, and to at least one side s_i for some $i \in [2 \ldots n-2]$, must be tangent to all the sides $s_2, s_3, \ldots, s_{n-2}$. Since $n \geq 5$, every triple of consecutive sides among $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{n-1}$ and the disk $\mathcal{C}'$ prove the lemma. □

Lemma 13. *Let P_n be an (unbounded) convex n-gon, and a, b, and c three consecutive sides of P_n such that: the lines $\ell(\mathsf{a})$ and $\ell(\mathsf{c})$ intersect at point P, the line $\ell(\mathsf{b})$ separates the interior of P_n and P, and there exists a disk $\mathcal{C}$ with center O, contained in P_n, and tangent to a, b, and c. Then, for any side $\mathsf{x} \notin \{\mathsf{a}, \mathsf{b}, \mathsf{c}\}$ of P_n such that the bisector $\ell(P, O)$ of a and c does not intersect the interior*



Figure 10: Proof of Lemma 13.

of x*, we have that* D_{b} *and* D_{x} *do not intersect (see Figure 10).*

Proof. Let T_1 and T_2 denote the points of tangency between $\mathcal{C}$ and the sides a and c, respectively, and $\mathcal{C}_P$ the disk with center P and radius $|PT_1| = |PT_2|$. Assume w.l.o.g. that x is contained in the convex wedge bounded by $h(P, T_1)$ and $h(P, O)$. By Lemma 7, we have that $D_{\mathsf{b}} \subset \mathcal{C}_P$. Furthermore, according to the relative position of x with respect to $h(P, T_1)$, $h(P, O)$, and $\mathcal{C}$, we can use Lemma 8, Lemma 9, Lemma 10, or Lemma 11 by considering $\mathsf{x} \subseteq DE$ in every of them, to obtain that $\mathcal{C}_P \cap D_{\mathsf{x}}$ is empty. Hence, we have that D_{b} and D_{x} do not intersect. □

Proof of 1-Chord lemma (Lemma 2). Using Lemma 12, we can ensure that P_n contains a disk $\mathcal{C}$ tangent to three consecutive sides, say the sides s_{i-1}, s_i, and s_{i+1} for some $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$, such that: the lines $\ell(s_{i-1})$ and $\ell(s_{i+1})$ are not parallel, and their intersection point and the interior of $\mathcal{C}$ belong to different halfplanes bounded by the line $\ell(s_i)$. The bisector of s_{i-1} and s_{i+1} will cross the interior of at most one side s_j of the set $S = \{s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{n-1}\} \setminus \{s_{i-1}, s_i, s_{i+1}\}$. For any other side $s_k \in S \setminus \{s_j\}$ we have that D_i and D_k do not intersect, by Lemma 13. The lemma thus follows. □

4 Part II: Proof of No-3-Cycles lemma (Lemma 3)

Lemma 14. *Let $ABCD$ be a convex quadrilateral with vertices A, B, C, and D, so that the lines $\ell(B, C)$ and $\ell(A, D)$ intersect at the point P, and the line $\ell(A, B)$ separates P and the interior of $ABCD$ (see Figure 11(left)). The disk $\mathcal{C}$ with center O is contained in $ABCD$ and tangent to the sides AB, BC, and DA, the line $\ell(A, O)$ intersects the side BC, and the line $\ell(B, O)$ intersects the side DA. Then, the disks D_{AB} and D_{CD} do not intersect.*

Proof. (Refer to Figure 14(right) throughout the proof) Let E be the point of tangency between $\mathcal{C}$ and BC, and $C' = \ell(A, O) \cap BC$, and $D' = \ell(B, O) \cap DA$. Let r be the radius of $\mathcal{C}$, $b = |C'E|$, $z = dist(D', \ell(E, O))$, $h = dist(D', \ell(P, C))$, $s = |C'D'|/2$, $\alpha = \angle OPA = \angle OPB$, $\beta = \angle OBA = \angle OBC$, and $\gamma = \angle OAD = \angle OAB$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $\beta \geq \gamma$. Observe that $\gamma > 2\alpha$ since $\ell(A, O)$ intersects BC. Analogously, $\beta > 2\alpha$ since $\ell(B, O)$ intersects DA. Note also that $\pi + 2\alpha = 2\beta + 2\gamma$.



Figure 11: Illustration (left) and proof (right) of Lemma 14.

The disk $\mathcal{C}_P$ with center P and radius $|PE|$ contains D_{AB}, by Lemma 7. Then, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{C}_P$ and D_{CD} do not intersect, which follows by proving that $\mathcal{C}_P$ and $D_{C'D'}$ do not intersect. The reason of this last statement is that any point Q of D_{CD} in the triangle ΔPCD also belongs to $D_{C'D'}$. Indeed, $Q \in D_{CD} \cap \Delta PCD$ implies that $\angle CQD \geq \pi/2$ by Thales' theorem, and we also have $\angle C'QD' > \angle CQD$. Then, Q also belongs to $D_{C'D'}$ by Thales' theorem. We will prove in the following that $\ell(E, O)$ separates the interior of $\mathcal{C}_P$ from the whole $D_{C'D'}$.

We need to prove that the radius s of $D_{C'D'}$ is less than the distance $dist(M_{C'D'}, \ell(E, O)) = (b+z)/2$, where $M_{C'D'}$ denotes the midpoint of $C'D'$. That is, we need to show that $(b+z)^2 > (2s)^2$, where $(2s)^2 = h^2 + (b-z)^2$. This is equivalent to proving that $4bz > h^2$, with

$$\begin{aligned} b &= r \cdot \cot(\gamma - 2\alpha) = r \cdot \cot(\pi/2 + \alpha - \beta - 2\alpha)) = r \cdot \tan(\beta + \alpha), \\ z &= |D'O| \cdot \cos\beta = \left(\frac{r}{\sin(\beta - 2\alpha)}\right) \cos\beta, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$h = r + |D'O| \cdot \sin\beta = r + \left(\frac{r}{\sin(\beta - 2\alpha)}\right) \sin\beta.$$

This is equivalent to verifying

$$4 \cdot \frac{\tan(\beta + \alpha) \cos\beta}{\sin(\beta - 2\alpha)} > \left(1 + \frac{\sin\beta}{\sin(\beta - 2\alpha)}\right)^2.$$

Since $2\alpha < \beta$ we have $\sin(\beta - 2\alpha) > 0$. On the other hand, given that $\pi + 2\alpha = 2\beta + 2\gamma$ and $\gamma > 2\alpha$, we have $\beta + \alpha < \beta + \gamma - \alpha = \pi/2$, and then $\cos(\beta + \alpha) > 0$. Hence, the above inequation

is equivalent to

$$4 \cdot \sin(\beta+\alpha)\sin(\beta-2\alpha)\cos\beta \;>\; (\sin(\beta-2\alpha)+\sin\beta)^2\cos(\beta+\alpha). \tag{1}$$

Since the sine function is concave in $[0,\pi/2]$, for all $x, y \in [0,\pi/2]$ we have

$$\frac{\sin x+\sin y}{2} \;\leq\; \sin\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right),$$

by Jensen's innequality, and then

$$(\sin(\beta-2\alpha)+\sin\beta)^2 \;\leq\; \left(2\cdot\sin\left(\frac{\beta-2\alpha+\beta}{2}\right)\right)^2 \;=\; 4\cdot\sin^2(\beta-\alpha).$$

Hence, we have

$$4\cdot\sin^2(\beta-\alpha)\cos(\beta+\alpha) \;\geq\; (\sin(\beta-2\alpha)+\sin\beta)^2\cos(\beta+\alpha).$$

and then to prove inequation (1) it suffices to prove

$$\sin(\beta+\alpha)\sin(\beta-2\alpha)\cos\beta \;>\; \sin^2(\beta-\alpha)\cos(\beta+\alpha). \tag{2}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sin(\beta+\alpha)\sin(\beta-2\alpha)\cos\beta\\
=\;&(\sin\beta\cos\alpha+\cos\beta\sin\alpha)(\sin\beta\cos 2\alpha-\cos\beta\sin 2\alpha)\cos\beta\\
=\;&(\sin\beta\cos\alpha+\cos\beta\sin\alpha)(\sin\beta\cos^2\alpha-\sin\beta\sin^2\alpha-2\cos\beta\sin\alpha\cos\alpha)\cos\beta\\
=\;&\sin^2\beta\cos\beta\cos^3\alpha-\sin^2\beta\cos\beta\sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha-2\sin\beta\cos^2\beta\sin\alpha\cos^2\alpha\\
&+\sin\beta\cos^2\beta\sin\alpha\cos^2\alpha-\sin\beta\cos^2\beta\sin^3\alpha-2\cos^3\beta\sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sin^2(\beta-\alpha)\cos(\beta+\alpha)\\
=\;&(\sin\beta\cos\alpha-\cos\beta\sin\alpha)^2(\cos\beta\cos\alpha-\sin\beta\sin\alpha)\\
=\;&(\sin^2\beta\cos^2\alpha+\cos^2\beta\sin^2\alpha-2\sin\beta\cos\beta\sin\alpha\cos\alpha)(\cos\beta\cos\alpha-\sin\beta\sin\alpha)\\
=\;&\sin^2\beta\cos\beta\cos^3\alpha+\cos^3\beta\sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha-2\sin\beta\cos^2\beta\sin\alpha\cos^2\alpha\\
&-\sin^3\beta\sin\alpha\cos^2\alpha-\sin\beta\cos^2\beta\sin^3\alpha+2\sin^2\beta\cos\beta\sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, subtracting the above equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sin(\beta+\alpha)\sin(\beta-2\alpha)\cos\beta-\sin^2(\beta-\alpha)\cos(\beta+\alpha)\\
=\;&-3\cos^3\beta\sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha-3\sin^2\beta\cos\beta\sin^2\alpha\cos\alpha+\sin^3\beta\sin\alpha\cos^2\alpha\\
&+\sin\beta\cos^2\beta\sin\alpha\cos^2\alpha\\
=\;&\sin\alpha\cos\alpha\left(-3\cos\beta\sin\alpha(\cos^2\beta+\sin^2\beta)+\sin\beta\cos\alpha(\cos^2\beta+\sin^2\beta)\right)\\
=\;&\sin\alpha\cos\alpha\left(-3\cos\beta\sin\alpha+\sin\beta\cos\alpha\right).
\end{aligned}$$

To prove inequation (2), it suffices to show that

$$-3\cos\beta\sin\alpha+\sin\beta\cos\alpha \;>\; 0,$$



Figure 12: Proof of Lemma 15.

that is, $\tan\beta > 3 \cdot \tan\alpha$. Given that $\pi + 2\alpha = 2\beta + 2\gamma > 8\alpha$, we have $\alpha < \pi/6$. Furthermore, $\pi + 2\alpha = 2\beta + 2\gamma \leq 4\beta$ implies $\beta \geq \pi/4 + \alpha/2$. Then, note that

$$\begin{aligned}\tan\beta \;&\geq\; \tan(\pi/4+\alpha/2) \;=\; \frac{\sin(\pi/4+\alpha/2)}{\cos(\pi/4+\alpha/2)} \;=\; \frac{\cos(\alpha/2)+\sin(\alpha/2)}{\cos(\alpha/2)-\sin(\alpha/2)} \\ &=\; \frac{(\cos(\alpha/2)+\sin(\alpha/2))^2}{\cos^2(\alpha/2)-\sin^2(\alpha/2)} \;=\; \frac{1+\sin\alpha}{\cos\alpha} \;>\; 3\cdot\frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos\alpha} \;=\; 3\cdot\tan\alpha,\end{aligned}$$

because $\sin\alpha < \sin(\pi/6) = 1/2$ given that $0 < \alpha < \pi/6$. □

Lemma 15. *Let P, Q, R, A, B, C, D, E, and F be points defining the triangle ΔPQR, and the convex hexagon $ABCDEF$ inscribed in PQR in the following manner: the points B and C are in PQ, the points D and E are in QR, the points F and A are in RP, and $ABCDEF$ contains the disk $\mathcal{C}$ incribed to PQR in its interior. Furthermore, $\mathcal{C}$ is tangent to BC, DE, and FA (see Figure 12). Then, at least one of the following statements is satisfied:*

(a) D_{AB} and D_{DE} do not intersect.

(b) D_{CD} and D_{FA} do not intersect.

(c) D_{EF} and D_{BC} do not intersect.

Proof. For $t \geq 0$, let $B(t), C(t) \in BC$, $D(t), E(t) \in DE$, and $F(t), A(t) \in FA$ be the six points such that $|AA(t)| = |BB(t)| = |CC(t)| = |DD(t)| = |EE(t)| = |FF(t)| = t$, and the hexagon $A(t)B(t)C(t)D(t)E(t)F(t)$ is convex and satisfies the same conditions as $ABCDEF$. Let t^* denote the maximum possible value of t. Let $\mathcal{E}_P(t)$ be the disk whose boundary is the excircle of the triangle $\Delta PB(t)A(t)$ that is contained in ΔPQR. Let $\mathcal{C}_P(t)$ denote the disk with center P and radius $|PT(t)|$, where $T(t)$ denotes the point of tangency between $\mathcal{E}_P(t)$ and PQ (see Figure 13 for the case $t = 0$). Analogously, we define the disk $\mathcal{C}_Q(t)$ centered at Q, and the disk $\mathcal{C}_R(t)$ centered at R. Since $D_{AB} = D_{A(0)B(0)}$ is contained in $\mathcal{C}_P = \mathcal{C}_P(0)$ (Lemma 7), to prove statement (a) it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{C}_P$ and $D_{DE} = D_{D(0)E(0)}$ do not intersect, which is equivalent to proving that

$$|PM_{DE}| \;>\; |PT| + \frac{|DE|}{2}, \tag{3}$$



Figure 13: Proof of Lemma 15.

where $T = T(0)$, $M_{D(t)E(t)}$ is the midpoint of $D(t)E(t)$, and $M_{DE} = M_{D(0)E(0)}$. For every $t \in [0, t^*]$, observe that $|PM_{D(t)E(t)}| = |PM_{D(0)E(0)}| = |PM_{DE}|$ since $M_{D(t)E(t)} = M_{D(0)E(0)} = M_{DE}$. Furthermore, $|D(t)E(t)| = |D(0)E(0)| - 2t = |DE| - 2t$. Given a triangle with sides a, b, and c, and the excircle $\mathcal{E}$ of the triangle tangent to c and to the extensions of a and b, the segments of such extensions connecting the common vertex of a and b with one point of tangency with $\mathcal{E}$ have length $(1/2)(|\mathsf{a}| + |\mathsf{b}| + |\mathsf{c}|)$. Then, since $\mathcal{E}_P(t)$ is an excircle of $\Delta PA(t)B(t)$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} |PT(t)| &= \frac{1}{2}\left(|PB(t)| + |B(t)A(t)| + |A(t)P|\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(|PB(0)| + t + |B(t)A(t)| + |A(0)P| + t\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(|PB| + |B(t)A(t)| + |AP|\right) + t. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the function $G : [0, t^*] \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as follows:

$$G(t) \;=\; |PM_{D(t)E(t)}| - |PT(t)| - \frac{|D(t)E(t)|}{2},$$

which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) &= |PM_{D(t)E(t)}| - |PT(t)| - \frac{|D(t)E(t)|}{2} \\ &= |PM_{DE}| - \frac{1}{2}\left(|PB| + |B(t)A(t)| + |AP|\right) - t - \frac{|DE|}{2} + t \\ &= |PM_{DE}| - \frac{1}{2}\left(|PB| + |B(t)A(t)| + |AP|\right) - \frac{|DE|}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function $|B(t)A(t)|$ is increasing in the range $t \in [0, t^*]$, we have that

$$|PM_{DE}| - |PT| - \frac{|DE|}{2} \;=\; G(0) \;\geq\; G(t^*) \;=\; |PM_{D(t^*)E(t^*)}| - |PT(t^*)| - \frac{|D(t^*)E(t^*)|}{2}.$$



Figure 14: Proof of Lemma 15.

Then, to prove inequation (3) and then statement (a), it suffices to show that $G(t^*) > 0$, which is equivalent to showing that $\mathcal{C}_P(t^*)$ and $D_{D(t^*)E(t^*)}$ do not intersect. Analogously, to prove statement (b) it suffices to show that $\mathcal{C}_Q(t^*)$ and $D_{F(t^*)A(t^*)}$ do not intersect, and to prove statement (c) it suffices to show that $\mathcal{C}_R(t^*)$ and $D_{B(t^*)C(t^*)}$ do not intersect.

Observe from the defintion of t^* that $\mathcal{C}$ is tangent to at least one of the segments $A(t^*)B(t^*)$, $C(t^*)D(t^*)$, and $E(t^*)F(t^*)$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $\mathcal{C}$ is tangent to $A(t^*)B(t^*)$. Let X, Y, and Z be the points of tangency between $\mathcal{C}$ and the segments $A(t^*)B(t^*)$, $D(t^*)E(t^*)$, and $F(t^*)A(t^*)$, respectively. Further assume w.l.o.g. that the line $\ell(Q,R)$ is horizontal, and either the lines $\ell(A(t^*),B(t^*))$ and $\ell(Q,R)$ are parallel or the point $\ell(A(t^*),B(t^*)) \cap \ell(Q,R)$ is to the left of Q (see Figure 14 in which the latter case occurs). In the former case, let $\mathcal{C}_{XY}$ denote the halfplane with the points in or to the left of the vertical line $\ell(X,Y)$. In the latter case, let $\mathcal{C}_{XY}$ denote the disk centered at $\ell(A(t^*),B(t^*)) \cap \ell(Q,R)$ whose boundary contains X and Y. Let $\mathcal{C}_{YZ}$ denote the disk with center R and radius $|RY| = |RZ|$. By Lemma 7 and construction, we have both

$$D_{B(t^*)C(t^*)} \subset D_{B(t^*)Q} \subset \mathcal{C}_{XY} \quad \text{and} \quad D_{E(t^*)F(t^*)} \subset \mathcal{C}_R(t^*) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{YZ},$$

which implies that $D_{B(t^*)C(t^*)}$ and $D_{E(t^*)F(t^*)}$ do not intersect. Hence, statement (c) is satisfied and the lemma follows. □

Proof of No-3-Cycles lemma (Lemma 3). By extending a, b, c, d, e, f, we can consider that a, b, c, d, e, f are the sides of an (unbounded) convex 6-gon P_6. The proof is split into several cases. Suppose that there exists a disk contained in P_6 and tangent to two opposed sides, say w.l.o.g. that the disk is tangent to a and d. Further assume w.l.o.g. that d is horizontal, the bisector of a and d intersects the side e, and either the lines $\ell(\mathsf{a})$ and $\ell(\mathsf{d})$ are parallel or the point $\ell(\mathsf{a}) \cap \ell(\mathsf{d})$ is to the left of d (see Figure 15a). Using Lemma 13 with a disk tangent to extensions a', c', and d' of a, c, and d, respectively, it follows that $D_{\mathsf{c}} \cap D_{\mathsf{f}} = \emptyset$ (see Figure 15b).

The next cases use similar arguments (i.e. applying Lemma 13). If there does not exist any disk contained in P_6 and tangent to two opposed sides, then there must exist a disk contained in P_6 and



Figure 15: Proof of Lemma 3.

tangent to three pairwise non-consecutive sides. Assume w.l.o.g. that such a disk is tangent to a, c, and e. If the lines $\ell(\mathsf{a})$, $\ell(\mathsf{c})$, and $\ell(\mathsf{e})$ do not bound a triangle that contains P_6 (see Figure 15c), then we proceed as follows. Assume w.l.o.g. that either $\ell(\mathsf{c})$ and $\ell(\mathsf{e})$ are parallel or the point $\ell(\mathsf{c}) \cap \ell(\mathsf{e})$ is separated from P_6 by $\ell(\mathsf{a})$, as in Figure 15c. If the bisector of a and c intersects d (see Figure 15c), then $D_\mathsf{b} \cap D_\mathsf{e} = \emptyset$ by Lemma 13. Analogously, if the bisector of a and e intersects d, then $D_\mathsf{c} \cap D_\mathsf{f} = \emptyset$. Suppose now that neither the bisector of a and c intersects d, nor the bisector of a and e intersects d (see Figure 15d). Then, we have that $D_\mathsf{a} \cap D_\mathsf{d} = \emptyset$, by Lemma 14. Otherwise, if the lines $\ell(\mathsf{a})$, $\ell(\mathsf{c})$, and $\ell(\mathsf{e})$ do bound a triangle that contains P_6 (see Figure 15e), then we proceed as follows. If the bisector of a and e does not intersect c (see Figure 15e), say w.l.o.g. that it intersects d, then we have $D_\mathsf{c} \cap D_\mathsf{f} = \emptyset$. Symmetric arguments can be given if the bisector of a and c does not intersect e, or the bisector of c and e does not intersect a. Otherwise, if the bisector of each two sides among a, c, and e intersects the third one (see Figure 15f), then $D_\mathsf{a} \cap D_\mathsf{d} = \emptyset$, or $D_\mathsf{b} \cap D_\mathsf{e} = \emptyset$, or $D_\mathsf{c} \cap D_\mathsf{f} = \emptyset$, by Lemma 15. All the cases are covered, and the lemma follows. □

5 Part III: Proof of Main theorem (Theorem 4)

Given an (unbounded) convex polygon and two sides a and b of it, we define the segment (or halfline) $\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}$ in the case where a and b are consecutive sides, or both are the two halfline sides of the polygon when it is unbounded, as follows: If a and b are consecutive segments, then $\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}$ is the diagonal of the polygon connecting an endpoint of a with an endpoint of b. If a is a halfline and b is a segment, then $\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}$ is the halfline contained in the polygon, parallel to a, and with apex the vertex of b not in common with a. If both a and b are halflines because the polygon is unbounded, then $\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}$ is the segment (i.e. diagonal) that connects the two endpoints of a and b.



Figure 16: Proof of Lemma 16.

Lemma 16. *Let P_n be an (unbounded) convex n-gon, $n \geq 4$, and a and b two sides of P_n such that the segment (or halfline) $\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}$ is defined. Let c be another side of P_n such that D_c intersects both D_a and D_b. Then, D_c also intersects $D_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}}$.*

Proof. Let $R_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}}$ be the convex region bounded by a, b, and $\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}$, and $P_{n-1} = P_n \setminus R_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}}$ the (possibly unbounded) convex $(n-1)$-gon resulting from removing $R_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}}$ from P_n. To prove the lemma, it suffices to show the following statement: every point Q in $D_\mathsf{a} \cap P_{n-1}$, or $D_\mathsf{b} \cap P_{n-1}$, is also in $D_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}}$. Assume that a and b are segments, so that a has endpoints A and B, b has endpoints B and C, and $\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b} = AC$. Let Q be a point in $D_\mathsf{a} \cap P_{n-1}$ (see Figure 16a). Then, $\angle AQB \geq \pi/2$ by Thales' theorem. Then, we have $\angle AQC > \angle AQB \geq \pi/2$, which implies that Q is also in $D_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}}$ by Thales' theorem. Analogulsy, if Q is in $D_\mathsf{b} \cap P_{n-1}$, then it is also in $D_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}}$. Assume now that a is a halfline and b is a segment, where A is the apex of a, and b has endpoints A and B (see Figure 16b and Figure 16c). In this case, $D_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}}$ is the halfplane containing a and bounded by the line through B perpendicular to $\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}$. If $D_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}} \subseteq D_\mathsf{a}$ (see Figure 16b), then $P_{n-1} \subset D_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}}$ and the statement trivially follows. Otherwise, if $D_\mathsf{a} \subset D_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}}$ (see Figure 16c), then $D_\mathsf{b} \cap P_{n-1} \subset D_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}}$, and the statement follows. Finally, assume that both a and b are halflines, with A the apex of a, and B the apex of b (see Figure 16d and Figure 16e). If neither D_a contains b nor D_b contains a (see Figure 16d), then the statement trivially follows. Otherwise, assume w.l.o.g. that D_a contains b (see Figure 16e). Let Q be a point in $(D_\mathsf{a} \cup D_\mathsf{b}) \cap P_{n-1}$, and note that $\angle AQB \geq \pi/2$ because the boundary of D_a is perpendicular to a, and a and b are the halflines among the sides of the unbounded P_n. Then, Q belongs to $D_{\mathsf{a}|\mathsf{b}}$ by Thales' theorem, showing that the statement is true. □

Proof of Main theorem (Theorem 4). Let P_n be a convex n-gon with $n \geq 3$. Let $G = \langle V, E \rangle$ be the intersection graph of the side disks of P_n, and $G_\mathsf{c} = \langle V_\mathsf{c}, E_\mathsf{c} \rangle$ the intersection graph of the chords in the circular embedding of G. If $n = 3, 4$, then G is trivially planar. Thus, assume $n \geq 5$. Suppose



Figure 17: Proof of Theorem 4.

that G_{c} has a 3-length cycle, made of three pairwise intersecting chords, induced by six sides a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0, e_0, f_0 of P_n. Assume w.l.o.g. that these sides appear in this order counter-clockwise along the boundary of P_n (see Figure 17a). Some (or all) of a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0, e_0, f_0 can be extended to obtain the sides $\mathsf{a} \supseteq \mathsf{a}_0$, $\mathsf{b} \supseteq \mathsf{b}_0$, $\mathsf{c} \supseteq \mathsf{c}_0$, $\mathsf{d} \supseteq \mathsf{d}_0$, $\mathsf{e} \supseteq \mathsf{e}_0$, $\mathsf{f} \supseteq \mathsf{f}_0$ of a possibly unbounded convex 6-gon. By Lemma 3, we have that $D_{\mathsf{a}} \cap D_{\mathsf{d}} = \emptyset$, $D_{\mathsf{b}} \cap D_{\mathsf{e}} = \emptyset$, or $D_{\mathsf{c}} \cap D_{\mathsf{f}} = \emptyset$. This implies that $D_{\mathsf{a}_0} \cap D_{\mathsf{d}_0} = \emptyset$, $D_{\mathsf{b}_0} \cap D_{\mathsf{e}_0} = \emptyset$, or $D_{\mathsf{c}_0} \cap D_{\mathsf{f}_0} = \emptyset$. Hence, 3-length cycles do not exist in G_{c} by contradiction. Let $k \geq 5$ and $c = \langle \mathsf{c}_0, \mathsf{c}_1, \ldots, \mathsf{c}_{k-1}, \mathsf{c}_0 \rangle$ a minimal cycle of length k in G_{c}, where *minimal* means that no proper subset of $\{\mathsf{c}_0, \mathsf{c}_1, \ldots, \mathsf{c}_{k-1}\}$ form a cycle. Assume that $\mathsf{c}_0, \mathsf{c}_1, \ldots, \mathsf{c}_{k-1}$ are sorted counter-clockwise (as in Figure 17d for $k = 5$), and that they define a set of t endpoints, $k \leq t \leq 2k$. These endpoints correspond to side disks, and then sides, of P_n. Extending some, or all, of such sides we obtain a possibly unbounded convex t-gon P_t. We have two cases: $t > k$ and $t = k$. Suppose that $t > k$. In this case, we can select a chord c_i such that the chords c_{i-1} and c_{i+1} that intersect c_i do not share any endpoint, where subindices are taken modulo k (see Figure 17b). Let a and a' be the sides of P_t that correspond to the endpoints of c_i, let b and b' be the sides that correspond to the endpoints of c_{i-1}, and let c and c' be the sides that correspond to the endpoints of c_{i+1}; so that a, b, c, a' are in this order counter-clockwise along the boundary of P_t. Observe that $\mathsf{b}|\mathsf{c}$ is defined, and let $R_{\mathsf{b}|\mathsf{c}}$ be the convex region bounded by b, c, and $\mathsf{b}|\mathsf{c}$, and let $P_{t-1} = P_t \setminus R_{\mathsf{b}|\mathsf{c}}$. For every chord different from c_{i-1} and c_{i+1} in the cycle c, and sides z and z' of P_{t-1} corresponding to its endpoints, we still have in P_{t-1} that $D_{\mathsf{z}} \cap D_{\mathsf{z}'} \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, for the sides b' and c', also of P_{t-1},we have both $D_{\mathsf{b}|\mathsf{c}} \cap D_{\mathsf{b}'} \neq \emptyset$ and $D_{\mathsf{b}|\mathsf{c}} \cap D_{\mathsf{c}'} \neq \emptyset$, by Lemma 16. This means that in the intersection graph of the chords in the circular embedding of the side disks of P_{t-1} there exists a cycle of length k, but the chords of the cycle define a set of endpoints of precisely one less element, that is, $t - 1$ endpoints (see the transition from Figure 17d to Figure 17e). Using this transition from P_t to P_{t-1}, we can assume $t = k$ from the beggining and then for every $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ we have that c_{i-1} and c_{i+1} share an endpoint. This condition implies that in P_t every side disk

defines at least two chords, which contradicts Lemma 2. Hence, the graph $G_{\mathtt{c}}$ is bipartite since it cannot contain cycles of odd length, which implies that G is planar by Theorem 1. □

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Oswin Aichholzer, Ruy Fabila-Monroy, Thomas Hackl, Tillmann Miltzow, Christian Rubio, Eulàlia Tramuns, Birgit Vogtenhuber, and Frank Duque for inspiring discussions on this topic. First author was supported by Projects MTM2012-30951 and DGR 2014SGR46. Second author was supported by projects CONICYT FONDECYT/Iniciación 11110069 (Chile), and Millennium Nucleus Information and Coordination in Networks ICM/FIC RC130003 (Chile).

References

[1] El irracional, foro de Matemáticas. `http://www.irracional.org/index.php/2249/dos-discos-disjuntos-en-un-pentagono-convexo`, 2013. Dos discos disjuntos en un pentágono convexo.

[2] L. Danzer. Zur Lösung des Gallaischen Problems über Kreisscheiben in der euklidischen Ebene. *Stud. Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 21:111–134, 1986.

[3] C. J. A. Evelyn, G. B. Money-Coutts, and J. A. Tyrrell. *The seven circles theorem and other new theorems*. Stacey International London, 1974.

[4] M. Hajja. A condition for a circumscriptible quadrilateral to be cyclic. In *Forum Geometricorum*, volume 8, pages 103–106, 2008.

[5] C. Hundack and H. Stamm-Wilbrandt. Planar embedding of hamiltonian graphs via efficient bipartation of circle graphs. 1994.

[6] D. Ivanov and S. Tabachnikov. The six circles theorem revisited. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.5260*, 2013.

[7] P. Koebe. Kontaktprobleme der Konformen Abbildung. *Ber. Sächs. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig, Math.-Phys. Kl.*, 88:141–164, 1936.

[8] F. P. Preparata. The medial axis of a simple polygon. In *Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science 1977*, volume 53 of *LNCS*, pages 443–450. 1977.

[9] S. Tabachnikov. Going in circles: variations on the money-coutts theorem. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 80(1-3):201–209, 2000.

[10] S. Troubetzkoy. Circles and polygons. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 80(1):289–296, 2000.

[11] J. A. Tyrrell and M. T. Powell. A theorem in circle geometry. *B. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 3(1):70–74, 1971.

[12] R. Wenger. Helly-Type Theorems and Geometric Transversals. In *Handbook of Discrete and Comp. Geom., chapter 4*, pages 63–82. CRC Press, 1997.

[13] V. A. Zalgaller and G. A. Los'. The solution of Malfatti's problem. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 72(4):3163–3177, 1994.